CALIFORNIA COMMUNITY COLLEGES CHANCELLOR'S OFFICE

1102 Q STREET, SUITE 4550 SACRAMENTO, CA 95811-6549 (916) 445-8752 http://www.cccco.edu



Via email only

messinadimberlee@foothill.edu; swettdenise@fhda.edu; huegkurt@foothill.edu; slaterbernata@fhda.edu holcroftcarolyn@fhda.edu: lamanqueandrew@foothill.edu

June 13, 2016

TO: Kimberlee Messina, Chief Executive Officer, Foothill College

Denise Swett, Chief Student Services Officer, Foothill College

Kurt Hueg, Chief Instructional Officer, Foothill College

Carolyn Holcroft, Academic Senate President, Foothill College

Bernata Slater, Chief Business Officer, Foothill College

Andrew LaManque, Student Equity Coordinator, Foothill College

FROM: Pamela D. Walker, Ed.D.

Vice Chancellor, Educational Services

SUBJECT: Student Equity Plan Feedback

As you know, in December of last year you submitted a Student Equity Plan for your college identifying student groups experiencing achievement gaps and outlining planned goals, activities and expenditures to address those gaps. In February of this year, the Chancellor's Office brought together a panel of forty-two volunteers representing faculty, students, researchers, administrators, and others to read and provide feedback on the plans. Readers were trained and then asked to evaluate your plan for compliance with student equity expenditure guidelines, title 5 regulations, and related Education Code. Readers were divided into teams of three; these teams then reviewed and discussed each plan, and provided feedback using a worksheet designed for that purpose. Enclosed you will find the worksheet(s) readers completed for your plan.

Feedback Summary

Chancellor's office staff then summarized key compliance sections of the worksheets related to research and expenditures, which are listed below. Those areas marked with a "Yes" or "Satisfactory" were assessed to be in compliance by the readers who reviewed the plan. Areas marked "Somewhat" were ultimately found to be acceptable but may need to be fine-tuned. Questions marked as "unclear" or "no" may be out of compliance and need to be addressed in future plan updates. Items that are marked with a "?" were left blank by the readers.

Colleges that received a "no" or "unclear" in any of the research related areas are encouraged to redo their research in that section, making appropriate changes for local evaluation and planning. While the revised research does not need to be provided to the CO it could prove beneficial as the college may be subject to an audit in the future. In the near future the CO will offer professional development opportunities focused on Disproportionate Impact (DI) studies, appropriate activities, evaluation strategies and other equity research related topics to assist colleges with best practices in research and evaluation.

Those colleges with plans containing expenditures identified as clearly or potentially ineligible must ensure all Student Equity funds are only spent on eligible expenditures. Colleges will be

required to demonstrate proof of eligible expenditure via their year-end expenditure report, which will be due to the CO Jan-Feb 2017.

Readers assessed your college research and expenditures as follows:

Research

| | | Access | Course Comp. | Basic Skills | Degrees & Certs. | Transfer |
|----|--|--------|--------------|-----------------|------------------|----------|
| 1) | Addressed all required target populations? | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| 2) | Conducted disproportionate impact study? | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| 3) | Provided evaluation plan and schedule? | | | Yes | | |

Expenditures

| | | Access | Course Comp. | Basic Skills | Degrees & Certs. | Transfer | Multiple Goals |
|----|---|--------|-----------------|-----------------|---------------------|----------|-------------------|
| 4) | Activities & expenditures address disproportionately impacted students? | Yes | Yes | Yes | Somewhat | Yes | |
| 5) | Expenditures appeared allowable and appropriate? | ? | Yes | ? | ? | ? | ? |
| | | | | Summary Budget | | | |
| 6) | Summary budget clearly identified link with specific activities? | | | | Yes | | |
| 7) | Summary budget included expenditures that may not have been allowable? | | | ? | | | |
| 8) | Summary budget showed evidence of possible supplanting of funds? | | | | ? | | |

We also asked readers to provide feedback highlighting innovative practices or approaches and to identify colleges that had well-constructed plans that could be used as models for other colleges. If the last item on the worksheet is marked with a "Yes", the readers identified your college plan as "one of the best." It is clear from the comments that readers were generally impressed with the dedication and creativity colleges showed in attempting to improve equitable outcomes for students. Please see the attached copy of your college's Student Equity Feedback Worksheet for detailed reader comments.

Please note, colleges are not required to create a new plan for 2016-17 as statute only requires a new plan once every three years. Instead, we will be asking for an update with any changes in activities or expenditures, most likely in January or February of 2017 at the same time that the year-end expenditure report is due. As mentioned previously, those colleges with plans containing expenditures identified as ineligible must demonstrate all funds have been spent on eligible expenditures via their year-end expenditure report.

If you have any questions or concerns, feel free to contact Debra Sheldon via email at dsheldon@ccco.edu or me at pwalker@ccco.edu.