# College Curriculum Committee Meeting Agenda <br> Tuesday, February 4, 2020 <br> 2:00 p.m. - 3:30 p.m. <br> President's Conference Room 

| Item | Action | Attachment(s) | Presenter(s) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1. Minutes: January 21, 2020 | Action | \#2/4/20-1 | Kuehnl |
| 2. Report Out from Division Reps | Discussion |  | All |
| 3. Announcements <br> a. New Course Proposal <br> b. Notification of Proposed Requisites <br> c. Digital Marketing Certificate Approval <br> d. LMI for New CTE Programs | Information | \#2/4/20-2 | Kuehnl |
| 4. Consent Calendar <br> a. Web Design Certificate of Achievement- <br> updated | Action | \#2/4/20-4 | Starer |
| 5. New Program Application: Film, Television, <br> and Electronic Media Certificate of <br> Achievement | 1st Read | \#2/4/20-5 | Kuehnl |
| 6. Program Deactivation: Nanoscience | 1st Read | \#2/4/20-6 | Kuehnl |
| 7. Courses not Taught in Four Years | Discussion | \#2/4/20-7 | Kuehnl |
| 8. Ad Hoc Groups | Discussion |  |  <br> Starer |
| 9. Loads and Seat Counts | Discussion | \#2/4/20-8-9 | Kuehnl |
| 10. Good of the Order |  |  | Kuehnl |
| 11. Adjournment |  |  | Kuehnl |

## Consent Calendar:

Streamlined Certificate of Achievement (attachment \#2/4/20-4) Fine Arts \& Communication: Web Design-updated

## Attachments:

\#2/4/20-1
\#2/4/20-2
Draft Minutes: January 21, 2020
421420-3 CCC Notificatiof Prosos Requisis
\#2/4/20-3 CCC Notification of Proposed Requisites
\#2/4/20-5 New Program Application: Film, Television, and Electronic Media Certificate of Achievement
\#2/4/20-6 Program Deactivation: Nanoscience
\#2/4/20-7 Courses not Taught in Four Years
\#2/4/20-8 Curriculum Spinning
\#2/4/20-9 Class Size Resource \& Planning

## 2019-2020 Curriculum Committee Meetings:

| Fall 2019 Quarter |  | Winter 2020 Quarter |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $108 / 19$ |  |  | Spring 2020 Quarter |
| $10 / 22 / 19$ | $2 / 21 / 20$ | $4 / 21 / 20$ |  |
| $11 / 5 / 19$ | $2 / 18 / 20$ | $5 / 5 / 20$ |  |
| $11 / 19 / 19$ | $3 / 3 / 20$ | $5 / 19 / 20$ |  |
| $12 / 3 / 19$ | $3 / 17 / 20$ | $6 / 2 / 20$ |  |
|  |  | $6 / 16 / 20$ |  |

Standing reminder: Items for inclusion on the CCC agenda are due no later than one week before the meeting.

## 2019-2020 Curriculum Deadlines:

12/1/19 Deadline to submit courses to CSU for CSU GE approval (Articulation Office).
12/1/19 Deadline to submit courses to UC/CSU for IGETC approval (Articulation Office).
2/18/20 Deadline to submit local GE applications for 2020-21 catalog (Faculty/Divisions).
2/18/20 Curriculum Sheet updates for 2020-21 catalog (Faculty/Divisions).
6/1/20 Deadline to submit new/revised courses to UCOP for UC transferability (Articulation Office).
TBD COR/Title 5 updates for 2021-22 catalog (Faculty/Divisions).
Ongoing Submission of courses for C-ID approval and course-to-course articulation with individual colleges and universities (Articulation Office).

Distribution:
Micaela Agyare (LIBR), Ben Armerding (LA), Rachelle Campbell (BH), Zachary Cembellin (PSME), Stephanie Chan (LA), Isaac Escoto (AS President), Mark Ferrer (SRC), Owen Flannery (KA), Valerie Fong (Acting Dean, LA), Marnie Francisco (PSME), Evan Gilstrap (Articulation Officer), Hilary Gomes (FA), Allison Herman (LA), Kurt Hueg (Dean, BSS), Marc Knobel (PSME), Eric Kuehnl (Faculty Co-Chair), Debbie Lee (Acting Dean, FA \& KA), Kristy Lisle (VP Instruction), Kent McGee (Evaluations), Dokesha Meacham (CNSL), Allison Meezan (BSS), Ché Meneses (FA), Brian Murphy (APPR), Ron Painter (PSME), Lisa Schultheis (BH), Lety Serna (CNSL), Sara Seyedin (Acting Dean, BSS), Matt Stanley (KA), Paul Starer (Administrator Co-Chair), Ram Subramaniam (Dean, BH \& PSME), Nick Tuttle (BSS), Mary Vanatta (Curriculum Coordinator), Anand Venkataraman (PSME)

## COLLEGE CURRICULUM COMMITTEE

## Committee Members - 2019-20



## Non-Voting Membership (4)

## $\sqrt{\sqrt{ }}$ Mary Vanatta <br> Kent McGee

ASFC Rep.
Curl. Coordinator vanattamary@fhda.edu
Evaluations mcgeekent@fhda.edu
SLO Coordinator
Visitors
Debbie Lee, Chris Allen, Kathryn Maurer

## College Curriculum Committee <br> Meeting Minutes <br> Tuesday, January 21, 2020 <br> 2:00 p.m. - 3:30 p.m. <br> President's Conference Room

## Item

| 1. Minutes: December 3, 2019 | Approved by consensus. |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2. Report Out from Division Reps | Speaker: All <br> Articulation: No updates to report. <br> SRC: New rep, Mark Ferrer. No updates to report. <br> Apprenticeship: Reactivating CWE courses related to Apprenticeship. <br> PSME: Working on curriculum sheets; plan to deactivate Nanoscience program. <br> Language Arts: No updates to report. <br> Library: Information Literacy modules in Canvas have been updated due to new OneSearch system; faculty will need to re-download modules in Canvas to use for their courses. <br> Fine Arts: Feedback from Ceramics dept. faculty regarding topic of requisite recency for their intermediate-level courses: prefer requirement of seven years, due to safety issues regarding glazes, clean-up, etc. Question regarding how this would affect courses within Families-would a student who has completed max of six courses within Family be able to repeat? Gilstrap said no. Update regarding Garment Printing certificate, which was part of streamlined process-was approved locally, but not moving forward with submission to BACCC because LMI data does not support the program. Vanatta explained cannot submit to CCCCO without BACCC approval; division may choose to continue offering as non-transcriptable or deactivate. Kuehnl asked Starer about Foothill administration's opinion, if any, regarding offering non-transcriptable certificates-Starer noted that divisions are responsible for administering and tracking them; college does not receive funding from the state for non-transcriptable. <br> BSS: No updates to report. <br> Kinesiology: No updates to report. <br> Bio Health: Working on curriculum sheets; Biology dept. working on new certificate related to health equity and inclusion leadership. |
| 3. Announcements <br> a. New Course Proposals <br> b. Notification of Proposed Requisites | Speaker: Eric KuehnI <br> The following proposals were presented: APPT 113, 115, 118; ART 4J; PHED 401, 402, 403, 404, 405, 406, 407, 408. Please share with your constituents. Apprenticeship rep noted the new APPT courses will be replacing existing courses that will then be deactivated; content has changed enough to necessitate new courses. Fine Arts rep noted creation of ART 4J in response to popularity of figure drawing courses; hope is that sculpture version will also be popular. <br> Listed are ongoing requisites for D A, RSPT \& SPAN courses, for which a Content Review form was not on file. Please share with your constituents. |


| c. Web Browser Issues with C3MS <br> d. CourseLeaf Update <br> e. New PCAH | Vanatta presented announcement. A few reps have noticed issues with COR formatting when using Firefox. Sysadmin Bradley Creamer has informed that this is a known issue and advises all to use Chrome for best results with C3MS. Note that Firefox and others may still be used, but formatting might look messed up when viewing CORs. <br> Starer presented announcement. We are now finalizing implementation of the course management system portion of CourseLeaf. Hope to be able to begin using part of that system by the end of winter quarter. Next step is to implement the catalog portion; unclear if we need to wait until catalog is fully implemented before we can fully use the course management system. <br> Vanatta presented announcement. The CCCCO published the 7th edition of their Program and Course Approval Handbook (PCAH) in fall; link on CCC website has been updated. Reviewed new edition and compared it to previous - only updates related to changes in Title 5 and other regulations that we already know about (e.g., unit values for certificates of achievement, removal of English/math test scores from competency requirements). |
| :---: | :---: |
| 4. Consent Calendar a. GE Applications | Speaker: Eric Kuehnl <br> The following GE applications were presented: Area I-HUMN 1H,5H; Area IV-SOC 45. No comments. <br> Motion to approve M/S (Venkataraman, Meezan). Approved. |
| 5. Stand Alone Approval Request: R T 75 | Speaker: Eric Kuehnl <br> Second read of Stand Alone Approval Request for R T 75. No comments. <br> Motion to approve M/S (Subramaniam, Venkataraman). Approved. |
| 6. Cross-List Request: R T 75 \& DMS 51A | Speaker: Eric Kuehnl <br> Cross-listed Course Approval Request for R T 75 (new course for 2020-21) \& DMS 51A (existing course). No comments. <br> Motion to approve M/S (Chan, Murphy). Approved. |
| 7. GE Subcommittees | Speaker: Paul Starer <br> Process not going smoothly for some of the GE areas; continue to struggle to staff the subcommittees and some have just one member, which is unfair to both submitting faculty and sole serving subcommittee member. Would like to begin discussion on how to resolve this issue. Does not appear to be enough to simply ask faculty to volunteer. One option is to bring full GE review back to CCC. <br> PSME rep asked how many GE applications are reviewed in a given yearStarer noted it depends on the GE area. Kuehnl noted that subcommittees also handle GE course substitution applications from students, which the CCC Co-Chair (he) distributes; was a big issue this year, as some were delayed for months due to no one serving on some subcommittees. Also noted that this year had a low number of members continuing from the previous year. Fine Arts rep serves on Area I subcommittee, suggested CCC increase meeting time and fully review GE applications. PSME rep agreed. Kuehnl asked how much time it usually takes to review applications-Fine Arts rep noted Area I members review separately and then discuss via email; previously met in person, which the rep preferred. Also suggested that new eyes on applications, from a variety of disciplines, would be good. Other PSME has served on Area $V$ subcommittee for years and was on central GE committee before that; noted that some applications take just 15 minutes but at times can take hours on a single application. <br> Starer noted if GE review brought to CCC would need to establish policies and procedures for review, including when to send back to faculty for revisions, etc. PSME rep provided history of GE review at CCC, making |


|  | note of decision that GE review would consume too much time at CCC and <br> take time away from discussion of bigger picture topics. Agreed with Starer <br> of need for process if GE review brought to CCC, including who would <br> communicate issues with faculty. Another PSME rep noted that sometimes <br> faculty reluctant to participate in "temporary" groups for fear that it will turn <br> into long-term commitment; suggested review continue to be on a volunteer <br> basis. BSS rep suggested faculty submitting GE application attend meeting <br> at which application being discussed; PSME rep noted this was included in <br> prior discussion, due to situation concerning a specific application, which <br> resulted in current GE application forms requiring information come directly <br> from COR. Noted concern that if faculty member attends meeting they <br> would potentially provide information not directly from COR, which would be <br> a change from current submission criteria. Language Arts rep suggested <br> that faculty could attend but still keep requirement that information must <br> come directly from COR. PSME rep expressed need to determine ahead of <br> time and be clear whether or not additional information would be allowed, <br> outside of the COR. <br>  <br> Kuehnl noted concern that faculty attending CCC meeting during review <br> could affect robustness of review. Fine Arts rep suggested consideration of <br> automatically adding to Foothill GE list courses that have been approved for <br> transfer GE (CSU GE, IGETC); noted this has been suggested in the past. <br> PSME rep noted that students may choose to complete transfer GE pattern <br> instead of Foothill GE; Gilstrap confirmed no requirement for student to <br> complete Foothill GE, may use CSU GE or IGETC. PSME rep mentioned <br> discussion at CCC a few years ago regarding eliminating Foothill GE <br> pattern. Subramaniam and Gilstrap noted that transfer GE patterns require <br> more courses, so Foothill GE does appeal to some students. |
| :--- | :--- |
| 8. Honors Course Prerequisite |  |
| Kuenhl asked if anything prohibits bringing applications to CCC if a |  |
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|  | applied inappropriately-yes, we will. Additionally, if course approved for CSU GE, IGETC, or C-ID, need to resubmit for those once UC transfer reapproved. From articulation perspective, whenever a course needs to be rearticulated, it opens up the possibility that the reviewer might identify issues that the previous reviewer did not. Gilstrap asked group to take this into consideration in any discussions of removing the prereq. Noted annual deadlines regarding UC approval. <br> Lee noted that Honors Institute staff still using process of manually clearing prereqs in Banner (two steps per student). Subramaniam asked if prereq could be listed on COR but not enforced in Banner. Gilstrap noted that honors program specifies that students must be Honors Institute participants in order to register; asked how to handle this if certain honors courses apply prereq and others do not. Starer noted concerns regarding an audit if we explicitly decide to not enforce prereq in Banner. Lee asked about possible implications from audit if we continue to enforce honors prereq that we cannot back up with data-Starer agreed that is an issue, but expressed concern with removing prereq from all honors courses en masse. Suggested would be better for each dept. to discuss and determine if prereq should be applied to their courses; should not be removed administratively. BSS rep noted some faculty in division expressed concerns regarding removal of prereq and would like to see data regarding success rates. Gilstrap suggested ad hoc group be convened to include various stakeholders around campus, to help guide the conversation. Starer in support; would like Kuehnl to discuss with Isaac Escoto. <br> Fine Arts rep provided feedback from Communication dept. Feedback expressed belief that removing the prereq could harm the honors program; suggested either automation of registration processes for students (while keeping prereq in place) or removal of prereq in conjunction with priority registration for honors program students. |
| :---: | :---: |
| 9. Improving our Curriculum Model | Speaker: Eric KuehnI <br> Topic delayed to future meeting, due to time constraint. |
| 10. Good of the Order |  |
| 11. Adjournment | 3:25 PM |

Attendees: Micaela Agyare (LIBR), Chris Allen (guest—Dean, APPR), Stephanie Chan (LA), Mark Ferrer (SRC), Owen Flannery (KA), Valerie Fong (Acting Dean, LA), Marnie Francisco (PSME), Evan Gilstrap (Articulation Officer), Hilary Gomes (FA), Allison Herman (LA), Taylor Johnson (guest—PSME), Marc Knobel (PSME), Eric Kuehnl (Faculty Co-Chair), Debbie Lee (guest-Acting Dean, FA \& KA), Allison Meezan (BSS), Ché Meneses (FA), Brian Murphy (APPR), Ron Painter (PSME), Lisa Schultheis (BH), Paul Starer (Administrator Co-Chair), Ram Subramaniam (Dean, BH \& PSME), Mary Vanatta (Curriculum Coordinator), Anand Venkataraman (PSME)

Minutes Recorded by: M. Vanatta

# Foothill College <br> College Curriculum Committee New Course Proposal 

This form should be completed by the faculty author as preparation to writing a new course. Your division CC rep can assist you in completing it appropriately, and will forward it to the Office of Instruction for inclusion as an announcement at the next available CCC meeting. The purpose of this form is interdisciplinary communication. The responsibility to rigorously review and approve new courses remains with the divisional curriculum committees.

Faculty Author: Baba Kofi Weusijana
Proposed Number: C S 70R series
Proposed Units: 1-4
Proposed Hours: 3-12 hours laboratory
Proposed Transferability: CSU
Proposed Title: Independent Study in Computer Science

## Proposed Catalog Description \& Requisites:

Provides an opportunity for the student to expand their studies in computer science beyond the classroom by completing a project or an assignment arranged by agreement between the student and instructor. The student is required to contract with the instructor to determine the scope of assignment and the unit value assigned for successful completion. Students may take a maximum of 6 units of independent study per department.

Proposed Discipline: Computer Science
(For guidance, refer to the Minimum Quals handbook, available on the CCC webpage.)
Note: If any proposed discipline falls within the purview of another division, please verify approval from that division. Division Rep: $\qquad$ Date: $\qquad$
To which Degree(s) or Certificate(s) would this course potentially be added?
Web Application Development Certificate
Are there any other departments that may be impacted from the addition of this course? Please identify those departments and the effect:
none

## Comments \& Other Relevant Information for Discussion:

[^0]
## CCC Notification of Proposed Prerequisites/Co-Requisites

The following courses are currently undergoing review for requisite additions or changes. Please contact the Division Curriculum Rep if you have any questions or comments.

| Target Course Number \& Title | COR Editor | Requisite Course Number \& Title | New/Ongoing |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| V T 88A: Clinical | S. St. Onge- <br> Preceptorship | Prereqs: V T 52A \& 52B <br> (Veterinary Assisting I \& II) | New for 2020-21 |

## FOOTHILL COLLEGE <br> Credit Program Narrative <br> Certificate of Achievement in Web Design

## Item 1.Program Goals and Objectives

The Certificate of Achievement in Web Design prepares students to be competent web designers while providing the opportunity to gain skills necessary to advance their careers.

Program Learning Outcomes:

- Apply basic principles of website design to build professional quality websites
- Use industry standard software and coding languages to design professional quality websites for businesses engaged in various activities


## Item 2.Catalog Description

The Certificate of Achievement in Web Design provides opportunities for career preparation by providing courses on the continuum from front-end interface to back-end coding. Website design skills are highly desirable not only in the graphic design profession but also for building websites for any and all other professions. Students enrolled in this program develop basic skills in the integral processes of web design while learning industry standard web design software, web coding languages, and professional practices. The outcomes of the program align with professional web design standards and are designed to produce a well-rounded, highly-trained graduate with sufficient expertise to be employable in an ever-expanding job market.
Furthermore, the courses in this certificate will scale up to the Certificate of Achievement and AA degree in Graphic and Interactive Design.

## Item 3.Program Requirements

|  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :--- |
| Requirements | Course \# | Title | Units | Sequence |
| Required Core | GID 55 | User Experience (UI/UX) Design | 4 | Yr 1, Winter |
| (16 units) | GID 56 | Website Design | 4 | Yr 1, Fall |
|  | GID 57 | Website Design \& Development II | 4 | Yr 1, Fall |
|  | GID 58 | Web Design \& Development III | 4 | Yr 1, Spring |

## TOTAL UNITS: 16 units

## Proposed Sequence:

Year 1, Fall = 8 units
Year 1, Winter $=4$ units
Year 1, Spring $=4$ units
TOTAL UNITS: 16 units

## Item 4. Master Planning

The Certificate of Achievement in Web Design aligns with the planned goals for Foothill College because the program serves the regional area in support of workforce development and economic growth. The program is unique and does not duplicate any existing program within the Foothill-De Anza District or the surrounding colleges. The program is also unique in that it can be achieved completely online.

Local references in support of the program include surveys showing that the vast majority of web designers have broad knowledge of a spectrum of web technologies that span user interface to server side coding, with competencies in a narrow piece of that spectrum. The
program courses meet the need of a broad audience, from small businesses that need to enhance their competitiveness through an interactive web presence to self-employed contractors and small agencies developing websites, to degree holders who want to transition to web design careers, and need to be proficient across the spectrum of web technologies.

## Item 5.Enrollment and Completer Projections

The courses average 30 students per course. The number of projected completers per year is 20. These figures are based on the number of students completing the program courses in the 2017-18 academic year. There is a high demand for professionals with web design and development skills in the local job market, as well as in other regions of California.

|  |  | Year 1 |  | Year 2 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Course \# | Course Title | Annual <br> Sections | Annual <br> Enrollment | Annual <br> Sections | Annual <br> Enrollment |
| GID 55 | User Experience (UI/UX) <br> Design | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| GID 56 | Website Design | 4 | 141 | 5 | 172 |
| GID 57 |  <br> Development II | 2 | 47 | 3 | 60 |
| GID 58 |  <br> Development III | 1 | 23 | 1 | 24 |

## Item 6. Place of Program in Curriculum/Similar Programs

There are currently no similar programs at Foothill College. This program fulfills a need expressed by the GID advisory board as well as surveys of the Silicon Valley workforce community.

## Item 7.Similar Programs at Other Colleges in Service Area

There are no other colleges within reasonable commuting distance that offer a similar program.

# FOOTHILL COLLEGE <br> Credit Program Narrative <br> Certificate of Achievement in Web Design 

## Additional Information Required for State Submission:

TOP Code: 0614.30
Annual Completers: 20
Net Annual Labor Demand: 11,200
Faculty Workload: 1
New Faculty Positions: zero
New Equipment: zero
New/Remodeled Facilities: zero
Library Acquisitions: zero
Gainful Employment: Yes
Program Review Date: Fall 2019
Distance Education: 100\%
ATTACH THE FOLLOWING (non-Apprenticeship):

1. Labor Market Information and Analysis
2. Advisory Committee Recommendation (includes advisory committee membership, minutes, and summary of recommendations)
3. Regional Consortia Approval Meeting Minutes (showing program recommendation)

# Web Design and Development Occupations Labor Market Information Report Foothill College 

Prepared by the San Francisco Bay Center of Excellence for Labor Market Research<br>December 2019

## Recommendation

Based on all available data, there appears to be an undersupply of Web Design and Development workers compared to the demand for this cluster of occupations in the Bay region and in the Silicon Valley sub-region (Santa Clara County.) There is a projected annual gap of about 1,108 students in the Bay region and 366 students in the Silicon Valley SubRegion.

This report also provides student outcomes data on employment and earnings for programs on TOP 0614.30-Website Design and Development in the state and region. It is recommended that these data be reviewed to better understand how outcomes for students taking courses on this TOP code compare to potentially similar programs at colleges in the state and region, as well as to outcomes across all CTE programs at Foothill College and in the region.

## Introduction

This report profiles Web Design and Development Occupations in the 12 county Bay region and in the Silicon Valley subregion for a proposed new program at Foothill College.

- Web Developers (SOC 15-1134): Design, create, and modify Web sites. Analyze user needs to implement Web site content, graphics, performance, and capacity. May integrate Web sites with other computer applications. May convert written, graphic, audio, and video components to compatible Web formats by using software designed to facilitate the creation of Web and multimedia content. Excludes "Multimedia Artists and Animators" (27-1014).

Entry-Level Educational Requirement: Associate's degree
Training Requirement: None
Percentage of Community College Award Holders or Some Postsecondary Coursework: 25\%

## Occupational Demand

Table 1. Employment Outlook for Web Design and Development Occupations in Bay Region

| Occupation | 2018 <br> Jobs | 2023 <br> Jobs | $5-\mathrm{Yr}$ <br> Change | $5-\mathrm{Yr} \%$ <br> Change | $5-\mathrm{Yr}$ <br> Open- <br> ings | Average <br> Annual <br> Open- <br> ings | $25 \%$ <br> Hourly <br> Wage | Median <br> Hourly <br> Wage |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Web Developers | 10,785 | 12,579 | 1,794 | $17 \%$ | 5,764 | 1,153 | $\$ 27.66$ | $\$ 42.99$ |

Source: EMSI 2019.3
Bay Region includes Alameda, Contra Costa, Marin, Monterey, Napa, San Benito, San Francisco, San Mateo, Santa Clara, Santa Cruz, Solano and Sonoma Counties

Table 2. Employment Outlook for Web Design and Development Occupations in Silicon Valley Sub-Region

| Occupation | $\begin{gathered} 2018 \\ \text { Jobs } \end{gathered}$ | 2023 Jobs | $5-\mathrm{Yr}$ <br> Change | $5-\mathrm{Yr} \%$ <br> Change | 5-Yr Openings | Average Annual Openings | 25\% <br> Hourly <br> Wage | Median <br> Hourly <br> Wage |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |


| Web Developers | 3,323 | 3,963 | 640 | $19 \%$ | 1,870 | 374 | $\$ 29.12$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |$\$ \$ 41.76$

Source: EMSI 2019.3
Silicon Valley Sub-Region includes Santa Clara County
Job Postings in Bay Region and Silicon Valley Sub-Region
Table 3. Number of Job Postings by Occupation for latest 12 months (December 2018-November 2019)

| Occupation | Bay Region | Silicon Valley |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Web Developers | 28,798 | 12,422 |

Source: Burning Glass

Table 4a. Top Job Titles for Web Design and Development Occupations for latest 12 months (December 2018November 2019) Bay Region

| Common Title | Bay | Common Title | Bay |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :---: |
| User Experience (UX) Designer | 3,003 | Engineer | 399 |
| User Interface (UI) Developer | 2,213 | Web Engineer | 357 |
| Front End Developer | 2,136 | Ruby on Rails Developer | 327 |
| Web Developer | 1,891 | PHP Developer | 306 |
| Software Development Engineer | 1,334 | Web Designer | 292 |
| User Interface (UX)/User Experience (UX) |  |  |  |
| Designer | 1,118 | Developer | 288 |
| Front End Engineer | 685 | Front End Web Developer | 261 |
| User Interface (UI) Designer | 615 | Web Producer | 228 |
| Senior Engineer | 611 | Javascript Developer | 212 |
| Ux Researcher | 577 | Frontend Engineer | 212 |
| Senior Front End Engineer | 535 | Senior Ux Researcher | 211 |
| Backend Engineer | 474 | Senior Ui Engineer | 192 |
| Java Developer | 469 | Senior Developer | 191 |
| Senior Backend Engineer | 430 | Back End Engineer | 183 |

Table 4b. Top Job Titles for Web Design and Development Occupations for latest 12 months (December 2018 November 2019) Silicon Valley Sub-Region

| Common Title | Bay | Common Title | Bay |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :---: |
| User Experience (UX) Designer | 1,273 | Ruby on Rails Developer | 168 |
| User Interface (UI) Developer | 1,238 | Developer | 151 |
| Front End Developer | 1,061 | Senior Front End Engineer | 141 |
| Web Developer | 748 | Front End Web Developer | 115 |
| Software Development Engineer | 558 | Javascript Developer | 110 |
| User Interface (UX)/User Experience (UX) |  |  |  |
| Designer | 441 | Senior Ui Engineer | 105 |
| User Interface (UI) Designer | 387 | Ui Engineer | 98 |
| Java Developer | 297 | Python Developer | 97 |
| Front End Engineer | 289 | Senior Backend Engineer | 93 |
| Backend Engineer | 224 | PHP Developer | 92 |
| Engineer | 223 | Backend Developer | 90 |
| Ux Researcher | 187 | Web Applications Developer | 88 |
| Senior Engineer | 175 | Senior Developer | 84 |
| Web Engineer | 171 | Back End Engineer | 82 |

[^1]
## Industry Concentration

Table 5. Industries hiring Web Design and Development Workers in Bay Region

| Industry - 6 Digit NAICS (No. American Industry Classification) Codes | Jobs in <br> Industry <br> $(2018)$ | Jobs in <br> Industry <br> $(2022)$ | \% <br> Change <br> $(2018-$ <br> $22)$ | (ndustry in <br> $(2018)$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Internet Publishing and Broadcasting and Web Search Portals <br> (519130) | 2,640 | 3,476 | $32 \%$ | $25 \%$ |
| Custom Computer Programming Services (541511) | 2,306 | 2,633 | $14 \%$ | $22 \%$ |
| Computer Systems Design Services (541512) | 1,302 | 1,429 | $10 \%$ | $12 \%$ |
| Software Publishers (511210) | 420 | 535 | $27 \%$ | $4 \%$ |
| Data Processing, Hosting, and Related Services (518210) | 381 | 503 | $32 \%$ | $4 \%$ |
| Other Computer Related Services (541519) | 361 | 415 | $15 \%$ | $3 \%$ |
| Corporate, Subsidiary, and Regional Managing Offices (551114) | 228 | 241 | $6 \%$ | $2 \%$ |
| Electronic Shopping and Mail-Order Houses (454110) | 227 | 269 | $19 \%$ | $2 \%$ |
| Administrative Management and General Management Consulting |  | 135 | 163 | $21 \%$ |
| Services (541611) | 107 | 116 | $8 \%$ | $1 \%$ |
| Advertising Agencies (541810) |  |  | $1 \%$ |  |
| Source: EMSI 2019 3 |  |  |  |  |

Table 6. Top Employers Posting Web Design and Development Occupations in Bay Region and Silicon Valley SubRegion (December 2018 - November 2019)

| Employer | Bay | Employer | Bay | Employer | Silicon <br> Valley |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Apple Inc. | 231 | Xoriant Incorporated | 64 | Apple Inc. | 216 |
| Amazon | 182 | Systems Integration Solutions | 58 | Cisco Systems Incorporated | 143 |
| Cisco Systems <br> Incorporated | 171 | Williams-Sonoma | 57 | Google Inc. |  |
| Google Inc. | 159 | Bayone Solutions | 57 | IBM | 120 |
| Facebook | 126 | Vmware Incorporated | 56 | Paypal | 85 |
| Scoop Technologies | 123 | Salesforce | 56 | Systems Integration Solutions | 76 |
| IBM | 98 | Coinbase | 56 | Amazon | 58 |
| Walmart / Sam's | 89 | Uber | 50 | Vmware Incorporated | 57 |
| Paypal | 81 | eBay | 48 | Xoriant Incorporated | 56 |
| Adobe Systems | 81 | Workday, Inc | 48 | Osi Engineering | 45 |
| Oracle | 78 | Jobot | 48 | Gorilla Logic | 45 |
| JP Morgan Chase | 77 | Redolent, Inc | 47 | eBay | 44 |
| Company | 73 | Ascent Technology Services | 45 | SAP | 4 |
| SAP | 69 | Gorilla Logic | 44 | Walmart / Sam's | 43 |
| Splunk, Inc | 67 | Jefferson Frank | 43 | Redolent, Inc | 43 |
| NTT Data | 66 | Intelliswift Software | 43 | Intuit | 42 |
| Splunk | 66 | Servicenow | 40 | Servicenow | 39 |
| Osi Engineering |  |  |  | 37 |  |
| So Brem |  | 34 |  |  |  |

Source: Burning Glass

## Educational Supply

There are nine (9) community colleges in the Bay Region issuing 45 awards on average annually (last 3 years) on TOP 0614.30 - Website Design and Development. There are three colleges in the Silicon Valley Sub-Region issuing 8 awards on average annually (last 3 years) on this TOP code.
Table 7. Awards on TOP 0614.30 - Website Design and Development in Bay Region

| College | Sub-Region | Associates | Certificate <br> $18+$ units | Certificate <br> Low unit | Total |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Berkeley City College | East Bay | 1 |  | 2 | 3 |


| Cabrillo College | Santa Cruz-Monterey | 6 | 6 | 11 | 23 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cañada College | Mid-Peninsula |  | 4 | 4 |  |
| City College of San Francisco | Mid-Peninsula |  | 1 | 1 |  |
| Mission College | Silicon Valley |  | 5 | 5 |  |
| Ohlone College | East Bay | 1 | 1 | 3 | 3 |
| San Jose City College | Silicon Valley | 2 | 1 | 2 |  |
| Skyline College | Mid-Peninsula |  | 1 | 3 |  |
| West Valley College | Silicon Valley | $\mathbf{1 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 1}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ |
| Total Bay Region |  | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathbf{4 5}$ |  |
| Total Silicon Valley Sub-Region |  |  |  | $\mathbf{8}$ |  |

Source: Data Mart
Note: The annual average for awards is 2015-16 to 2017-18.

## Gap Analysis

Based on the data included in this report, there is a labor market gap in the Bay region with 1,153 annual openings for the Web Design and Development occupational cluster and 45 annual (3-year average) awards for an annual undersupply of 1,108 students. In the Silicon Valley Sub-Region, there is also a gap with 374 annual openings and 8 annual (3-year average) awards for an annual undersupply of 366 students.

## Student Outcomes

Table 8. Four Employment Outcomes Metrics for Students Who Took Courses on TOP 0614.30-Website Design and Development

| $2015-16$ | Bay <br> (All CTE <br> Programs) | Foothill <br> College <br> (All CTE <br> Programs) | State <br> $(0614.30)$ | Bay <br> $(0614.30)$ | Silicon <br> Valley <br> $(0614.30)$ | Foothill <br> College <br> $(0614.30)$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \% Employed Four Quarters After Exit | $74 \%$ | $77 \%$ | $60 \%$ | $62 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $76 \%$ |
| Median Quarterly Earnings Two Quarters <br> After Exit | $\$ 10,550$ | $\$ 15,301$ | $\$ 8,399$ | $\$ 10,242$ | $\$ 11,841$ | $\$ 15.047$ |
| Median \% Change in Earnings | $46 \%$ | $82 \%$ | $48 \%$ | $47 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $81 \%$ |
| \% of Students Earning a Living Wage | $63 \%$ | $76 \%$ | $53 \%$ | $59 \%$ | $65 \%$ | $72 \%$ |

Source: Launchboard Pipeline (version available on 12/12/19)

## Skills, Certifications and Education

Table 9. Top Skills for Web Design and Development Occupations in Bay Region (December 2018 - November 2019)

| Skill | Postings | Skill | Postings | Skill | Postings |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| JavaScript | 13,392 | Git | 3,140 | Agile Development | 1,846 |
| Java | 6,082 | Adobe Photoshop | 2,986 | E-Commerce | 1,843 |
| React Javascript | 5,283 | iQuery | 2,927 | Hypertext Preprocessor (PHP) | 1,791 |
| Web Application | 5,227 | Node.js | 2,922 | Scrum | (1,789 |
| Development | 5,079 | User Research | 2,781 | Human Computer Interaction | 1,754 |
| HTML5 | 4,978 | Prototyping | 2,717 | Product Development | 1,729 |
| Web Development | 4,693 | Product Management | 2,652 | JavaScript Object Notation <br> (JSON) | 1,726 |
| Software Engineering | 4,302 | Visual Design | 2,628 | Cross-browser | 1,711 |
| AngularJS | 4,068 | Interaction Design | 2,424 | Bootstrapping | 1,693 |
| Software Development | 4,050 | Unit Testing | 2,423 | Project Management | 1,595 |
| Front-end Development | 3,505 | Web Site Design | 2,344 | Adobe Indesign | 1,548 |
| Python | Object-Oriented <br> Analysis and Design <br> (OOAD) | 2,088 | Ruby on Rails |  |  |
|  | 3,496 |  |  | 1,508 |  |
| CSS |  |  |  |  |  |
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| SQL | 3,359 | Syntactically Awesome Style Sheets (SASS) | 2,047 | Scalability Design | 1,497 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| User Interface (UI) Design | 3,276 | MySQL | 1,999 | Quality Assurance and Control | 1,493 |
| UX Wireframes | 3,182 | AJAX | 1,892 | TypeScript | 1,480 |

Source: Burning Glass
Table 10. Certifications for Web Design and Development Occupations in Bay Region (December 2018 - November 2019)

Note: $98 \%$ of records have been excluded because they do not include a certification. As a result, the chart below may not be representative of the full sample.

| Certification | Postings | Certification | Postings |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :---: |
| Security Clearance | 182 | First Aid Cpr Aed | 8 |
| Project Management Certification | 47 | Certified Scrum Professional (CSP) | 8 |
| Driver's License | 27 | Microsoft Certified Solutions Developer <br> (MCSD) | 7 |
| IT Infrastructure Library (ITIL) Certification | 23 | Certified Salesforce Platform Developer I | 7 |
| Project Management Professional (PMP) | 22 | Certified Salesforce Administrator | 7 |
| Mbe Certified | 19 | ITIL Certification | 6 |
| CompTIA Security+ | 11 | CompTIA Linux+ | 6 |
| Food Handler Certification | 10 | Certified ScrumMaster (CSM) | 6 |
| Certified Information Systems Security Professional <br> (CISSP) | 10 | Certified Salesforce Platform Developer | 6 |
| Automation Certification | 9 | Systems Security Certified Practitioner <br> (SSCP) | 6 |
| Certified Novell Administrator | 8 | SAP Certification | 5 |
| SANS/GIAC Certification |  | 5 | 5 |

Source: Burning Glass

## Table 11. Education Requirements for Web Design and Development Occupations in Bay Region

Note: 52\% of records have been excluded because they do not include a degree level. As a result, the chart below may not be representative of the full sample.

| Education (minimum advertised) | Latest 12 Mos. Postings | Percent 12 Mos. Postings |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| High school or vocational training | 243 | $2 \%$ |
| Associate Degree | 113 | $1 \%$ |
| Bachelor's Degree or Higher | 12,471 | $97 \%$ |

Source: Burning Glass

## Methodology

Occupations for this report were identified by use of skills listed in O*Net descriptions and job descriptions in Burning Glass. Labor demand data is sourced from Economic Modeling Specialists International (EMSI) occupation data and Burning Glass job postings data. Educational supply and student outcomes data is retrieved from multiple sources, including CTE Launchboard and CCCCO Data Mart.

## Sources

O*Net Online
Labor Insight/Jobs (Burning Glass)
Economic Modeling Specialists International (EMSI)
CTE LaunchBoard www.calpassplus.org/Launchboard/
Statewide CTE Outcomes Survey
Employment Development Department Unemployment Insurance Dataset
Living Insight Center for Community Economic Development
Chancellor's Office MIS system

## Contacts

For more information, please contact:

- Doreen O'Donovan, Research Analyst, for Bay Area Community College Consortium (BACCC) and Centers of Excellence (CoE), doreen@baccc.net or (831) 479-6481
- John Carrese, Director, San Francisco Bay Center of Excellence for Labor Market Research, ícarrese@ccsf.edu or (415) 267-6544


# FOOTHILL COLLEGE <br> Credit Program Narrative <br> Certificate of Achievement in Film, Television, and Electronic Media 

## Item 1. Program Goals and Objectives

The Certificate of Achievement in Film, Television, and Electronic Media emphasizes Media Studies and will prepare learners to transfer to a four-year university and for professional careers in a variety of media-related fields. This local certificate is specifically aligned to serve the needs of students who hope to transfer to the San Francisco State University Cinema major, but who may elect not to complete a degree prior to transfer. The program will offer a strong foundation in the language, history, culture, and aesthetics of media. The program objectives fall into two large categories: 1) developing the understandings and skills necessary for a career in media; 2) developing the critical thinking skills necessary to interpret and analyze the values, assumptions, and constituent cultural/sociological phenomena housed within-and underneath-its ubiquitous presence.

## Program Learning Outcomes:

- Students will demonstrate the ability to analyze, synthesize, and critique media languages and aesthetics.
- Students will demonstrate the ability to interpret, evaluate, and analyze the wide variety of contexts and ideologies embedded within different media forms.
- Students will demonstrate a working knowledge of media history and technological innovation, including its effects upon the development of media as business and art form.
- Students will demonstrate the ability to analyze media's role in the shaping of cultural values and perceptions.


## Item 2. Catalog Description

The Certificate of Achievement in Film, Television, and Electronic Media prepares learners to transfer to San Francisco State University and for professional careers in a variety of mediarelated fields. The program offers a strong foundation in the language, history, culture, and aesthetics of media. The program objectives fall into two large categories: 1) developing the understandings and skills necessary for a career in media; 2) developing the critical thinking skills necessary to interpret and analyze the values, assumptions, and constituent cultural/sociological phenomena housed within-and underneath-its ubiquitous presence.

## Item 3. Program Requirements

| Requirements | Course \# | Title | Units | Sequence |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Required Core <br> (21 units) | MDIA 1 <br> or <br> MDIA 1H | Introduction to Film Studies | 4 | Year 1, Fall |
|  | HRNors Introduction to Film Studies | 4 | Year 1, Fall |  |
|  | MDIA 2A | Mass Communication | 5 | Year 1, Winter |
|  | History of Film 1895-1945 | 4 | Year 2, Winter |  |


|  | or <br> MDIA 2B <br> MTEC 57A <br> MDIA 20 | History of Film 1945-Current Sound Design for Film \& Video <br> Fundamentals of Media Production | 4 4 4 | Year 2, Winter <br> Year 2, Fall <br> Year 1, Spring |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Restricted Electives (select 8 units) | MDIA 3 | Introduction to Film \& Media Criticism | 4 | Year 2, Wtr/Spr |
|  | MDIA 11 <br> or | Introduction to Popular Culture | 4 | Year 2, Wtr/Spr |
|  | MDIA 11H | Honors Introduction to Popular Culture | 4 | Year 2, Wtr/Spr |
|  | MDIA 12 | Popular Culture \& United States History | 4 | Year 2, Wtr/Spr |
|  | $\text { MDIA } 13$ <br> or | Video Games \& Popular Culture | 4 | Year 2, Wtr/Spr |
|  | MUS 11F | Video Games \& Popular Culture | 4 | Year 2, Wtr/Spr |

## TOTAL UNITS: 29 units

## Proposed Sequence:

Year 1, Fall $=4$ units
Year 1, Winter $=5$ units
Year 1, Spring $=4$ units
Year 2, Fall = 4 units
Year 2, Winter $=8$ units
Year 2, Spring $=4$ units
TOTAL UNITS: 29 units

## Item 4. Master Planning

The Foothill College Mission Statement outlines the importance of programs for transfer and to support students as global citizens: "....Foothill College offers programs and services that empower students to achieve their goals as members of the workforce, as future students, and as global citizens."

The Certificate of Achievement in Film, Television, and Electronic Media will support students looking to transfer by providing a solid foundation in media study basics, as well as transferable and articulated courses to many four-year programs. In addition, the program is intended to address a specific need for employment within our region, including careers in media marketing and promotion, film and video production, and media analysist and archivist. Finally, the program will support the college mission by addressing the increasing importance of media literacy in contemporary society and will strive to produce well-educated citizens.

## Item 5. Enrollment and Completer Projections

Numbers indicate that several of the program courses will continue to have robust enrollment, while others should have enrollment boosted by affiliation with the new program. It is estimated
that one year after the program commences, the program will have five completers. It is estimated that after five years, the program can be increased to ten annual completers.

|  |  | Year 1 |  | Year 2 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Course \# | Course Title | Annual <br> Sections | Annual <br> Enrollment | Annual <br> Sections | Annual <br> Enrollment |
| MDIA 1/1H | Introduction to Film <br> Studies/Honors | 9 | 235 | 8 | 250 |
| MDIA 2A | History of Film 1895-1945 | 3 | 150 | 3 | 159 |
| MDIA 2B | History of Film 1945- <br> Current | 7 | 369 | 9 | 479 |
| MDIA 3 |  <br> Media Criticism | 2 | 93 | 2 | 95 |
| MDIA 11/ <br> 11H | Introduction to Popular <br> Culture/Honors | $15 / 3$ | $586 / 108$ | $15 / 3$ | $584 / 112$ |
| MDIA 12 | Popular Culture \& United <br> States History | 2 | 75 | 2 | 78 |
| MDIA 13/ <br> MUS 11F | Video Games \& Popular <br> Culture | $6 / 4$ | $171 / 94$ | $4 / 4$ | $140 / 104$ |
| MDIA 20 | Fundamentals of Media <br> Production | 1 | 18 | 1 | 23 |
| MTEC 57A |  <br> Video | 3 | 63 | 2 | 22 |
| JRNL 2 | Mass Communication | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |

## Item 6. Place of Program in Curriculum/Similar Programs

This certificate allows students who are taking a complementary degree (such as Music Technology, Graphic and Interactive Design, Communication Studies, etc.) to complete a certificate of more advanced work in Media Studies as a secondary area of study. This will provide an advantage in transfer applications or the job market. Many students may be interested in Media Studies but not have time to complete the full degree; the certificate of achievement allows them to pursue and receive documentation of this area of study.

## Item 7. Similar Programs at Other Colleges in Service Area

The Certificate of Achievement in Film, Television, and Electronic Media covers at least 51\% of the requirements for the Cinema major at SFSU and for the Film major at SJSU.

FOOTHILL COLLEGE
Credit Program Narrative
Certificate of Achievement in Film, Television, and Electronic Media
Additional Information Required for State Submission:
TOP Code: 0604.20
Annual Completers: 3
Faculty Workload: 1
New Faculty Positions: zero
New Equipment: zero
New/Remodeled Facilities: zero
Library Acquisitions: zero
Gainful Employment: Yes
Program Review Date: December, 2023 (same as the first Program Review of the ADT)
Distance Education: 50-99\%
====Cinema, B.A.====
*REQUIREMENT INFORMATION DISPLAYED BELOW IS DATED*

## Review current major requirements here: http://bulletin.sfsu.edu/programs/

Learn about upper division GE and any additional graduation requirements here: http://bulletin.sfsu.edu/undergraduate-education/graduation-requirements/

## IMPORTANT ADVISORY INFORMATION

At the time of admission to the University, no special permission, application or portfolio is required to declare the Cinema major. However, before advancing to courses in the 300 level or higher, all majors must complete the lower division major requirements (or equivalents) with a grade of $C$ or better (CINE 200, CINE 202, CINE 204, CINE 211, and CINE 212). Check below for articulated courses.

This S.F. State degree program and the AS-T in Film, Television, and Electronic Media (SB 1440 degree) are "similar" majors. To view sample 60-unit degree plans for this pathway and all SF State ADT (SB 1440) pathways, visit http://ueap.sfsu.edu/articulation/roadmaps

Students should prioritize completion of any articulated courses below that are included in the AS-T curriculum at the community college.

We also recommend that students try to complete the following SF State graduation requirements before transfer:
-- American Institutions requirement (US-1, US-2, US-3)
-- a 2nd-semester/quarter course in written English composition that also satisfies CSU GE or IGETC requirements.

NOTE: A grade of $C$ or better is required for all lower division major requirements.

Questions about this agreement may be sent to artic@sfsu.edu

Cinema, B.A. (continued)
**Fall 2019: NOT REQUIRED**

Second Year Composition Requirement: SF State requires students to take a 2nd semester/quarter course in English composition. Completion of this requirement is also a prerequisite to the upper division Graduation Writing Assessment Requirement (GWAR) course in the major. Students are strongly advised to complete a 2 nd sem/qtr composition course before transfer; in many majors, students must complete the GWAR course in the first semester of their junior year.

Articulated courses that satisfy this requirement are displayed in the "Important Non-Major Requirements" section at the end of this agreement.

## LOWER DIVISION MAJOR REQUIREMENTS

**ALERT** Course articulation displayed here is in effect unless otherwise noted. For new course articulation effective Fall 2017 and after, visit http://ueap.sfsu.edu/articulation/transfer-evaluation-system


# Cinema, B.A. (continued) 

ENG $214 \quad$| Second Year Written |
| :--- |
| Composition-English |



A minimum grade of $C$ is required.

## OR

OR
An Equivalent Course: Course(s) shown here also satisfy SF State's Second Year Composition requirement. Completion of the Second Year Composition requirement is a prerequisite for enrollment in the Graduation Writing Assessment Requirement (GWAR) course after transfer.

Second Year Composition Requirement: |No course articulated
A minimum grade of $C$ is required.

END OF MAJOR

Articulation Agreement by Major
Effective during the 16-17 Academic Year
To: San Jose State
|From: Foothill College
16-17 General Catalog
Semester|16-17 General Catalog
Quarter

====Radio-Television-Film, B.A.====

STAR Act (SB 1440):
The Radio, TV and Film department accepts the AS-T in Film, Television, and Electronic Media for transfer into this major. We recommend transfer students complete the following courses, which are required for the Radio-Television-Film major at SJSU, as part of their AS-T in Film, Television, and Electronic Media. Students should take courses which clear the American Institution requirement and a second course in English composition as part of their CSU GE or IGETC requirements for the AS-T degree (doing so will increase greater choice in the 60 units of SJSU course work to be taken after transfer).

## IMPORTANT TRANSEER INFORMATION:

Admission to San Jose State is competitive in all majors. SJSU continues to have more qualified applicants than available new student spaces. Because of this, SJSU is an impacted campus with impacted programs. For the most current information regarding admission impaction at SJSU please visit our website: www.sjsu.edu/admissions/impaction

Prior to transferring to San Jose State University all transfers must earn at least 60 transferable semester units (90 quarter), including the CSU four basic skill courses required for CSU admission eligibility (except majors which have an approved CSU GE A3 waiver). Within those 60 semester/90 quarter units, students are strongly encouraged to complete the following:

1. Lower Division Major Course Requirements (especially for STEM Majors): Complete as many of the lower division courses required for the major as possible. Many of these courses may be double counted as part of the CSU GE-Breadth 39 semester unit requirements. The lower division major courses for this major are shown below.

## 2. General Education Requirements:

Complete all the CSU GE Breadth requirements at the community college (39 semester units/58 quarter units). The approved courses for each area can be found at www.ASSIST.org under the link "CSU GE-Breadth Certification Courses" for your college. Many of these courses may be double counted to meet the major requirements shown below, so choose your courses wisely. Some SJSU majors which meet GE requirements within the majors are noted on the " Exceptions and Modifications" page in our catalog. Please see your college counselor/advisor to review your general education in order to receive FULL OR PARTIAL CERTIFICATION PRIOR TO TRANSFER to San Jose State University.

## 3. Second Course in English Composition highly recommended:

All students are strongly encouraged to complete a second English composition course as part of their lower division GE prior to transferring to SUSU (either to meet CSU GE Area A3 or C2) for the greatest success in passing the Writing Skills Test (WST) at SJSU. Complete this course with a grade of "C" or better prior to registering for the WST at SJSU to avoid delays in enrollment for other SJSU courses. To register for the WST contact our Testing office at: http://testing.sjsu.edu/wst
4. American Institutions Requirement (US 1, US 2, and US 3 must be completed): This requirement is normally 2 courses and can be taken as part of your CSU GE-Breadth 39 semester unit requirements (GE Area D and sometimes Area C). The approved courses can be found at www.ASSIST.org under the link "CSU US History, Constitution, and American Ideals Courses" for your college.
5. Graduation Requirement - Physical Education (PE): All undergraduate students who matriculate at SJSU are required to complete two units of physical education from Kinesiology/Dance activity courses, unless the major program has an

Radio-Television-Film, B.A. (continued)
approved PE waiver. Majors which have approved PE waivers are noted on the "Major Exceptions and Modifications" page in our catalog.

## Second Course in English Composition:

| ENGL 2 | Critical Thinking and Writing | (3) | ENGL 1B | Composition, Critical Reading and Thinking | (5) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | OR |  |  |
|  |  |  | ENGL 1BH | Honors Composition, | (5) |
|  |  |  |  | Critical Reading, \& |  |
|  |  |  |  | Thinking |  |
|  |  |  | OR |  |  |
|  |  |  | ENGL 1C | ARGUMENTATIVE WRITING | (5) |
|  |  |  |  | \& CRITICAL THINKING |  |
|  |  |  | OR |  |  |
|  |  |  | ENGL 1CH | HONORS ARGUMENTATIVE | (5) |
|  |  |  |  | WRITING \& CRITICAL |  |
|  |  |  |  | THINKING |  |
|  |  |  | OR |  |  |
|  |  |  | PHIL 1 | Critical Thinking | (5) |
|  |  |  | OR |  |  |
| ENGL 1B | Argument and Analysis | (3) | ENGL 1B | Composition, Critical | (5) |
|  |  |  |  | Reading and Thinking |  |
|  |  |  | OR |  |  |
|  |  |  | ENGL 1BH | Honors Composition, | (5) |
|  |  |  |  | Critical Reading, \& |  |
|  |  |  |  | Thinking |  |

Preparation for the Major:
RTVF 10 The Art of Film (3)|MDIA 1 Introduction to Film (4)

## Lower Division Requirements of the Major:




Radio-Television-Film, B.A. (continued)

| RTVF 80 | Introduction to Media | (3) \| No Curre | Articulation |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| RTVF 82 | Introduction to Film | (3) MDIA 2A | History of Film | (4) |
|  | History | (1) | 1895-1945 |  |

END OF MAJOR

## F00THILL COLLEGE Temporary Program Creation Process Feedback Form for New Programs

Until the new permanent program creation process has been determined, as part of the temporary program creation process this form shall be used by a department to gather feedback on a new program from key governance committees on campus. A complete program narrative and supporting documentation must be submitted to the groups listed below (simultaneous submission is recommended). Each committee will provide initial feedback via email within two weeks but might also provide additional feedback after their monthly meetings.

After a two-week period, regardless of whether feedback has been received from the three committees, the Division Curriculum Committee may consider the new program for approval. Following Division CC approval, please forward this completed form to the Office of Instruction.

Faculty Author(s): Kristin Tripp-Caldwell, Kay Thornton
Division: Fine Arts and Communication

Program Title: Film, Television, and Electronic Media
Program Units: 29

## Workforce/CTE Program (Y/N): No

Please note that Workforce/CTE status is dependent on the TOP Code assigned to the program.

## Type of Award:

___ Non-transcriptable credit certificate
___ AA/AS Degree (local)
X__ Certificate of Achievement
Noncredit certificate

EQUITY \& EDUCATION
https://foothill.edu/gov/equity-and-education/

## Date of meeting:

The E\&E committee is charged with taking on issues from an equity perspective. Within this framework, what feedback do you have?

## Comments:

The feedback from the group was very positive. We were SUPER excited about your second major program objective.

Moving forward as you evaluate student achievement of that outcome in particular, keep me posted on your results! I am thinking this might be a chance to model your work for other areas of the campus.

## REVENUE \& RESOURCES

https://foothill.edu/gov/revenue-and-resources/
Date of meeting: $12 / 6 / 19$
The $R \& R$ committee is charged with taking on issues from a budget perspective. Within this framework, what feedback do you have?

## Comments:

After reviewal of the request, the committee had no further questions and have agreed to the request of setting up a Certificate of Achievement - Film, Television, and Electronic Media.

| ADVISORY COUNCIL <br> https://foothill.edu/gov/council// |
| :--- |
| Date of meeting: $1 / 24 / 20$ |
| The Advisory Council is charged with taking on issues from a college-wide planning perspective. |
| Within this framework, what feedback do you have? |
| Comments: |
| There were no questions or concerns to share. The program sounds great, and we noted that the |
| program addresses multiple points in the college's mission. |

Division Curriculum Committee Approval Date: 1/10/20
Division CC Representative: Ché Meneses \& Hilary Gomes

## Program Deactivation: Nanoscience

Due to insufficient demand, the PSME division has decided to deactivate this program (AS degree) in addition to all NANO courses, as per the following list:

NANO 10
NANO 51
NANO 52
NANO 53
NANO 54
NANO 62
NANO 70R
NANO 71R
NANO 72R
NANO 73R

PSME Division Curriculum Committee Approval: December 5, 2019

| Division | Course Number | Course Title | Extension granted in 2016/2017 | Extension granted last time - 2019 (if applicable) | Notes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Approved 3/19; will be offered fall |  |
| SRC | ALLD_F206. | PARAGRAPH REMEDIATION |  | 2020 |  |
|  |  |  |  | Approved 3/19; will be offered fall |  |
| SRC | ALLD_F210. | UNDERSTAND LEARNING DIFFER |  | 2020 |  |
| BSS | ANTH_F067A | CULTURES OF THE WORLD: ECUADOR |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Approved 3/19; will be offered summer |  |
| BSS | ANTH_F067B | CULTURES OF THE WORLD: BELIZE |  | 2020 or 2021 |  |
| BSS | ANTH_F067E | CULTURES OF WORLD: MEDITERRANE |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Approved 3/19; will be offered spring |  |
| APPR | APPR_F166. | JOB SUPERVISION |  | 2020 |  |
|  |  |  |  | Approved 3/19; will be offered fall |  |
| APPR | APPT_F123. | RESIDENTIAL GAS \& WATER INSTAL | Yes - both years | 2019 |  |
|  |  |  |  | Approved 3/19; will be offered fall |  |
| APPR | APPT_F124. | MATHEMATICS FOR RESIDENTIAL PL | Yes - both years | 2019 |  |
|  |  |  |  | Approved 3/19; will be offered fall |  |
| APPR | APPT_F125. | RESIDENTIAL BLUEPRINT READING | Yes - both years | 2019 |  |
|  |  |  |  | Approved 3/19; will be offered fall |  |
| APPR | APPT_F126. | RESID PIPING LAYOUT/INSTALL/FI | Yes - both years | 2019 |  |
|  |  |  |  | Approved 3/19; will be offered fall |  |
| APPR | APPT_F127. | RESIDENTIAL PLUMBING CODE | Yes - both years | 2019 |  |
|  |  |  |  | Approved 3/19; will be offered fall |  |
| APPR | APPT_F128. | RESIDENTIAL GAS INSTALL;SERV W | Yes - both years | 2019 |  |
| APPR | APSM_F130. | SMQ-30 ADVANCED WELDING |  | Approved 3/19; no timeline provided for offering |  |
|  |  |  |  | Approved 3/19; no timeline provided |  |
| APPR | APSM_F134. | SMQ-34 ADVANCED LAYOUT FABRICA |  | for offering |  |
| FA | ART_F005C | SCULPTURE |  |  |  |
| FA | ART_F014D | DIGITAL ART \& GRAPHICS |  |  |  |
| $F A$ | ART_F072R | INDEPENDENT STUDY IN ART |  |  | exempt from process |
|  |  |  |  | Approved 3/19; will be offered summer |  |
| KA | ATHL_F011E | INTRCLG BASKETBALL (MEN) |  | 2019 |  |

$\left.\left.\begin{array}{llll}\text { Division } & \text { Course Number } & \text { Course Title } & \begin{array}{l}\text { Extension granted } \\ \text { in 2016/2017 }\end{array} \\ \hline \text { KA } & \text { ATHL_F041. } & \text { PRE COND SANDVOLLEYBALL WMN } & \text { applicable) }\end{array}\right] \begin{array}{l}\text { Notes }\end{array}\right]$

| Division | Course Number | Course Title | Extension granted in 2016/2017 | Extension granted last time - 2019 (if applicable) | Notes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Approved 3/19; will be offered fall |  |
| BSS | GEOG_F012. | INTRO GEOSPATIAL TECHNOLOGY |  | 2019 |  |
| FA | GID_F038. | INTRODUCTION TO PRINTMAKING |  |  |  |
| FA | GID_F092. | LETTERPRESS PRINTING |  |  |  |
| FA | GID_F093. | LETTERPRESS PROJECTS |  |  |  |
| BSS | HIST_F009. | HIST CONTEMPORARY EUROPE |  |  |  |
| BSS | HIST_F009H | HONORS HISTORY OF CONTEMP EURO |  |  |  |
| BSS | HIST_F019. | HISTORY OF ASIA:CHIN/JAP |  | Approved 3/19; will be offered spring 2020 |  |
| BHS | HORT_F090E | HORT \& LANDSCAPE PHOTOGRAPHY |  | Approved 3/19; will be offered during next 2 years |  |
| BHS | HORT_F090K | LANDSCAPING WITH EDIBLES |  | Approved 3/19; will be offered during next 2 years |  |
| BHS | HORT_F090L | PLANT PROPAGATION:BASIC SKILLS |  | Approved 3/19; will be offered during next 2 years |  |
| APPR | JRYM_F171A | SPEC CAD SM JOURNEYPERSON I |  |  |  |
| APPR | JRYQ_F100. | APPLICANT INTRO TO SHEET METAL |  |  |  |
| APPR | JRYQ_F113. | JOURNEY-LEVEL INTRO TO WELDING |  |  |  |
| APPR | JRYQ_F114. | JOURNEY WELDING II:GMAW \& OTHE |  |  |  |
| APPR | JRYQ_F123. | JOURNEY RESIDENT SHEET METAL |  |  |  |
| APPR | JRYQ_F125. | JOURNEY DETAILING INTRODUCTION |  |  |  |
| APPR | JRYQ_F126. | JOURNEY FOREMAN TRAINING |  |  |  |
| APPR | JRYQ_F127. | JOURNEY BASIC AUTOCAD |  |  |  |
| APPR | JRYQ_F128. | JOURNEY HVAC ENERGY CONSERV/EN |  |  |  |
| APPR | JRYQ_F130. | JOURNEY-LEVEL ADVANCED WELDING |  |  |  |
| APPR | JRYQ_F131. | CAD DETAIL W/3RD PARTY SOFTWAR |  |  |  |
| APPR | JRYQ_F132. | INT CAD DETAIL 3RD PARTY |  |  |  |
| APPR | JRYQ_F133. | JOURNEY-LEVEL ADV ARCHITECTURA |  |  |  |
| APPR | JRYQ_F134. | JOURNEY-LEVEL ADVANCED LAYOUT |  |  |  |
| APPR | JRYQ_F135. | PROJECT MGMT JOURNEY LEVEL |  |  |  |
| APPR | JRYQ_F136. | SERV BASICS SM WORKER JOURNEY |  |  |  |


| Division | Course Number | Course Title | Extension granted in 2016/2017 | Extension granted last time - 2019 (if applicable) | Notes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| APPR | JRYQ_F137. | JOURNEY-LEVEL HVAC PROJECT |  |  |  |
| APPR | JRYQ_F138. | JOURNEY ARCHTEC/INDUSTR PROJEC |  |  |  |
| APPR | JRYQ_F139. | INT AUTOCAD FOR JOURNEY LEVEL |  |  |  |
| KA | KINS_F072R | INDEPENDENT STUDY KINESIOLOGY |  |  | exempt from process |
| KA | KINS_F073R | INDEPENDENT STUDY KINESIOLOGY |  |  | exempt from process |
| KA | KINS_F082. | APPLIED PRINC ADAPTIVE FITNESS |  | Approved 3/19; no timeline provided for offering |  |
| KA | KINS_F084. | FUNCTION FITNESS \& ADAPT MOVEM |  | Approved 3/19; no timeline provided for offering |  |
| BSS | LINC_F066A | INTRO TO THE INTERNET I |  |  |  |
| BSS | LINC_F073A | ADOBE PHOTOSHOP I |  |  |  |
| BSS | LINC_F076A | CREATING EDUCATNL WEBSITES I |  |  |  |
| BSS | LINC_F096C | HANDHELD DIGITAL MEDIA DEV II |  |  |  |
| PSME | MATH_F042. | MATH FOR ELEMENTARY TEACH |  | Approved 3/19; will be offered winter 2020 |  |
| FA | MTEC_F070E | PRO TOOLS 210P-AVID CERTIF |  |  | Formerly MUS 82E |
| FA | MTEC_F072C | PRODUCING MUSIC W/LOGIC PRO X |  |  | Formerly MUS 66F |
| FA | MUS_FO72R | INDEPENDENT STUDY MUS/MUS TECH |  |  | exempt from process |
| LA | NCEL_F403B | TRANSTN TO COLLEGE ESL PART II |  | Approved 4/23; will be offered summer 2019 |  |
| SRC | PHDA_F019. | BACK HEALTH \& FITNESS |  |  |  |
| KA | PHED_F020C | ADVANCED PILATES |  |  |  |
| KA | PHED_F032C | SOCCER: GAME SKILLS |  |  |  |
| KA | PHED_F071R | INDEPENDENT STUDY PHYSICAL EDU |  |  | exempt from process |
| KA | PHED_FO72R | INDEPENDENT STUDY PHYSICAL EDU |  |  | exempt from process |
| KA | PHED_F073R | INDEPENDENT STUDY PHYSICAL EDU |  |  | exempt from process |
| BSS | PHIL_F030. | INTRO TO CRITICAL THINKING |  |  |  |
| FA | PHOT_F078A | LANDSCAPE FIELD STUDY IN PHOTO |  | Approved $3 / 19$; will be offered summer 2020 |  |
| PSME | PHYS_F054H | HONOR INST SEMINAR IN PHYSICS |  |  |  |


| Division | Course Number | Course Title | Extension granted in 2016/2017 | Extension granted last time - 2019 (if applicable) | Notes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| BSS | POLI_F054H | HONORS INSTITUTE SEMINAR POLI | Yes-2017 | Approved 3/19; will be offered winter 2020 |  |
| BHS | R T_F071. | ADV CLINICAL EXPER:MRI | Yes - both years | Approved 3/19; will be offered in 201920 |  |
| BSS | SOSC_F070R | INDEPENDENT STUDY SOCIAL SCIEN |  |  | exempt from process |
| BSS | SOSC_F071R | INDEPENDENT STUDY SOCIAL SCIEN |  |  | exempt from process |
| BSS | SOSC_F072R | INDEPENDENT STUDY SOCIAL SCIEN |  |  | exempt from process |
| BSS | SOSC_F073R | INDEPENDENT STUDY SOCIAL SCIEN |  |  | exempt from process |
| FA | THTR_F012A | STAGE \& SCREEN |  |  |  |
| FA | THTR_F032. | CAD DRAFTING FOR THTR/FILM/TV |  |  |  |
| FA | THTR_F071R | INDEPENDENT STUDY THEATRE ARTS |  |  | exempt from process |
| BSS | WMN_F070R | INDEPENDENT STUDY WMN'S STUDIE |  |  | exempt from process |
| BSS | WMN_F071R | INDEPENDENT STUDY WMN'S STUDIE |  |  | exempt from process |
| BSS | WMN_F072R | INDEPENDENT STUDY WMN'S STUDIE |  |  | exempt from process |
| BSS | WMN_F073R | INDEPENDENT STUDY WMN'S STUDIE |  |  | exempt from process |

## CURRICULUM SPINNING

## Click to edit Master subtitle style



## How are class sizes set on your campus?

- Who sets class size?
- Faculty
- Administration
- Other
- What determines class size?
- Best environment for student learning
- Best environment for instructor
- Classroom size
- Tradition



# Setting course enrollment Maximums: Processes, Roles, and Principles 

## Paper adopted Spring 2012

by the Academic Senate for California Community Colleges
http://www.asccc.org/sites/default/files/ClassCapsS12 0.pdf

- Should originate with discipline faculty
- Confirmed by Curriculum Committee

Paper also includes model processes/policies and sample forms


## Considerations

- Original class size vs. later modifications
- Pedagogical concerns
- Academic Senate concerns
- Union/working condition concerns
- Fiscal concerns



## Guiding principles for discipline faculty

- Should not exceed greatest number instructor can reasonably offer attention to
- How about adding beyond the maximum?
- Should be appropriate to method of presentation used in the class
- Lecture, lab, online, etc.

- Should be conducive to use of variety of effective grading processes
- Should be supported by reliable date from local and external statewide/national organizations



## Guiding principles for Curriculum Comimttees

- Must insure discipline faculty have considered relevant factors
- Should review data presented by discipline faculty
- Should recognize special population classes may have valid claims for smaller class sizes
- Honors
- CTE Programs

- Recognize that different disciplines or courses require different workloads or grading needs
- Ensure accreditation, safety and compliance with legal codes are maintained
- Course maximums should be documented in COR or other official location



## Guiding principles for Academic Senates

- Ensure that clear and logical process for setting course maximums are established
- Ensure that once processes and policies are established that they are respected



## Guiding principles for bargaining units

- Rely on input from curriculum processes and senate to bargain responsible course limits
- Ensure that faculty are compensated for workloads
- Develop contract language to protect faculty rights and instructional quality and ensure faculty act responsibly in observing limits



## Guiding principles for administration

- Work within the processes to ensure fiscal viability without sacrificing academic quality
- Work with faculty to assure spatial and physical accommodations are observed when scheduling



## North Orange County story and process

The "Class Size Planning and Resource Document" ...AKA "CSPRD"

This story, or never ending saga, began back in 2005
Our contract ensures that "maximum class size is determined by the campus curriculum approval process"

However...


# Concerns were raised by administrators regarding established class sizes... 

- EQUITY in class sizes between colleges and between disciplines within individual colleges
- FISCAL MOTIVATION to increase class sizes in other disciplines to compensate for small class sizes required in Health Sciences and other CTE programs (and within disciplines like Fine Arts)
- DESIRE FOR CLARITY AND CONSISTENCY OF PROCESS for establishing maximum class size


## FACULTY RESISTANCE

- QUESTIONED the need to scrutinize class sizes
- CHALLENGED THE ASSUMPTION that the existing processes were not working
- ASKED FOR EVIDENCE of the "problem(s)", and of FACULTY complaints about perceived inequities
- ASSERTED THAT CLASS SIZE WAS PART OF CURRICULUM and thus our administrators should reply primarily on faculty for class size determination
- REFERED TO OUR CONTRACT which assigns class size determination to the "CAMPUS" curriculum approval process
- UNION recognizes faculty concerns yet encouraged the Faculty Senates to respond



## THE TRUTH ABOUT CLASS SIZES

before the CSPRD...

- Lack of a clearly articulated process resulted in lack of consistency in determining maximum class size
- Traditional "seat count" set due to facilities or equipment limitations sometimes became "maximum class size" without any attention to pedagogical considerations
- Class size in some disciplines had been arbitrarily increased by administrators to balance smaller classes



## FACULTY RECOGNIZED THE NEED TO TAKE ACTION IN RESPONSE

- A COMMITTEE WAS FORMED to address issues with class size determination
- MEMBERS from all 3 sites (Fullerton College, Cypress College, and SCE) included:

Curriculum Chairs
Chief Instructional Officers ("VPI")
Additional Faculty Volunteers

- First committee decision was that...



## PEDAGOGY SHOULD BE THE BASIS <br> FOR CLASS SIZE DETERMINATION

## INSTRUCTIONAL METHODS...

- What does the instructor DO in class? (lecture? And...?)
- Student/Faculty Interaction...How much time needed per student during class for effective instruction?
AND How much individualized instruction is provided via grading efforts (i.e. for writing assignments)?
- What do the students DO in class? (group work/discussions, student presentations, independent skill building work with instructor supervising and providing direction/feedback, etc.)



## CREATION OF THE CSPRD...

- Committee developed lists of instructional/evaluation methods and grouped methods based on effective pedagogy in different types of courses to create class size categories with recommended maximums
- Statement of Philosophy and a list of "Assumptions" were also developed
- Members solicited feedback from faculty through the campus curriculum committees and Faculty/Academic Senates
- Proposals and responses were shared at our District Curriculum Coordinating Committee...



## ...FOR TWO YEARS, AND THEN...

- The Fullerton College and Cypress College Class Size Planning and Resource Document, or CSPRD, was approved by all three Faculty Senates and DCCC...
- It was implemented as a tool, a framework to guide decision-making about maximum class size
- Our pedagogically based CSPRD is now at the heart of our process and is used along with other discipline and course specific reasons to determine maximum class sizes (as of last year this is now Board Policy)



## Fullerton/Cypress <br> Class Size Planning \&: Resource Document Approved by FC, CC and DCCC - Spring 2007

Statement of Philosophy: While the Curriculum Committee supports the use of this sheet in promoting student success and the economic feasibility of the College, we strongly feel that issues of pedagogy and class size are best determined by recognizing the recommendations of the individual faculty members, departments, and divisions involved.


## Assumptions:

- The purpose of this document is to minimize the differences between class sizes for particular classes at Cypress and Fullerton. Departments at both campuses offering similar courses are encouraged to discuss and agree upon class size prior to submittal of curriculum.
- In determining class size, faculty should balance four competing concerns: pedagogy, enrollment patterns, labor equity, and economic feasibility.
- Class size should not be set based on classroom and/or equipment availability.
- Class size for courses with an online component will be the same as on-site courses.



## Assumptions:(continued)

- Clear course methodologies should appear in the course outlines to reflect the appropriate class size.
- Safety, Health, State/Accrediting Regulations, and Vocational Advisory Committees supersede the following descriptions.
- Classes that differ from the grid need to be justified through the curricular process.
- Any class with a class size of less than 35 will only be offered as a multiple section under extraordinary circumstances.


| Instructional Method | Class Size | Descriptions |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Lecture/Discussion | 45 | The primary mode of instruction is lecture and may include discussion and/or <br> group learning. Evaluation primarily through objective exams. Writing <br> assignments are assessed mostly for concepts and structure. |
| Lecture /Discussion/ <br> Group Learning / Student <br> Presentations | 35 | While the instructor does lecture, much of the class time focuses on discussion, <br> group learning, and/or formal/informal student presentations. Evaluation primarily <br> through objective exams. Writing assignments are assessed mostly for concepts <br> and structure. |
| Individualized <br> Instruction/Group <br> Learning/ Student <br> Presentations | 30 | Class time focuses on individualized instruction, student presentation time, and/or <br> group learning. Requires three or more writing assignments using advanced <br> analytical and critical thinking skills. Writing assignments are assessed for critical <br> thinking, conceptual understanding, structure, style and mechanics. |
| Extensive Writing | 27 | Evaluation mostly through writing assignments with a minimum of 6000-8000 <br> words. Writing assignments are assessed for critical thinking, conceptual <br> understanding, structure, style and mechanics. For developmental classes, the <br> amount of words may be less, but the amount of assignments and scope of <br> assessment are similar to a transfer-level course. |
| Individualized Instruction | 25 | Most of the time the students are engaged in practicing the skill(s) they are <br> learning and the instructor gives each student individual instruction as the class <br> proceeds. |
| Internship/Field Practice | 25 | Classes in which the instructor coordinates internship/field practice opportunities <br> and supervises students individually at different locations. |
| Lab - Standard | 35 | Labs in which the instructor supervises students as they proceed in their work and <br> answers questions, but does NOT provide extensive individualized <br> feedback/evaluation on a regular basis. |
| Lab - Individualized <br> Feedback/Evaluation | 25 | Labs in which the instructor provides extensive individualized <br> feedback/evaluation on a regular basis. (e.g. problem sets, scientific experiments, <br> vocational skills, lab reports) |

## Consistency is achievable

## To be comprehensive is impossible...

- It is impossible to capture all possible "categories" on a Class Size Planning Document since not all courses will fit clearly into one category
- Reality is that instructional/evaluation methods are complex, there are too many variables, and an effective tool must be relatively simple
- Our Strategy $\rightarrow$ Develop a set of categories that capture most courses, then formally acknowledge that additional course and discipline specific information must be considered where appropriate



## Ongoing challenge is BALANCE

- Pedagogically based class size determination is ideal, it makes excellence in teaching and learning possible and must more probable
- However, the push to increase class sizes to increase revenues persists and fiscal realities must be considered-when data demonstrates fiscal need
- Developing and implementing a set of categories provides a way to root the class size discussion in pedagogy, and a framework for consistency



## Cerritos story and process

- Original request came from VP of Instruction
- Triggered by requests to reduce established maximums of some high enrollment courses
- Curriculum Committee approach
- Started with North Orange County model
- Removed actual numbers and looked at definitions
- Constituency objections/concerns
- Department chairs/Discipline faculty
- Bargaining Unit
- Academic Affairs
- Development and testing of Class Size Calculator spreadsheet
- Use of methods of instruction, methods of evaluation, assignment samples
- Problems faced by "catch all" CORs and multiple approaches among multiple instructors
- Decision to use multiple measures
- Class size calculator as primary tool
- Integration into CurricuNet

Course:

STANDARD PRIMARY METHODS OF INSTRUCTION

## epeartment asserts selected methods are the minimum that will be used

 Section softhis course. Additional methods may be used. Methods shouldsupport objectives.) Select

Discussion
Computer activity
Computer activity
Physical activity (i.e., PE classes)
linical work
collaborative learning
Critiques of student work
Field trips
Field work
Instructor demonstrations
Laboratory activity
Musicil/theatrical performance/staging
Regular reading assignments
Role playing/ensembles/acting
Student demonstrations
student demonstration
Workbook activity/Flipped classins

Standard primary methods of evaluation
(Department asserts selected methods are the minimum that will be used in all sections of this course. Additional methods may be used. Methods should
support obiectives). support objectives.)
$\times \quad$ Multiple Choice tests
Regular essay tests ( 4 or more per semester)
Reguar essay tests $(4$ or more per
Research project(s) - Individuals
Research project(s) - Individ
Research project $s$ s - -group
Weekly writing assignments
Extensive writing assignments ( 6,000 words)
Class participation (activity classes)
Demonstrated skill development/role playing Industry standardized tests
Product developme
Graded journal
Physical activity
Peer evaluations
Proficiency tests
Student in-class presentations
Juried projects
Individualized instruction (i.e., applied music)
53

## AdDITIONAL CONSIDERATIONS

## Must be documented at time of course approval. If condtions change the

 within one s shoos verear)Accreditation limitation
Safetyl limitation
Legal limitation
Specialized lab limitation

## CLASS SIZE CALCULATOR SAMPLE

1. Methods of instruction determine initial course maximum
2. Methods of evaluation and sample assignments adjust course size up and down
3. Additional considerations, such as accreditation, safety, and dedicated lab limitations override other calculations

# Fullerton/Cypress Class Size Planning \& Resource Document <br> Approved by FC, CC and DCCC - Spring 2007 

Statement of Philosophy: While the Curriculum Committee supports the use of this sheet in promoting student success and the economic feasibility of the College, we strongly feel that issues of pedagogy and class size are best determined by recognizing the recommendations of the individual faculty members, departments, and divisions involved.

## Assumptions:

1. The purpose of this document is to minimize the differences between class sizes for particular classes at Cypress and Fullerton. Departments at both campuses offering similar courses are encouraged to discuss and agree upon class size prior to submittal of curriculum.
2. In determining class size, faculty should balance four competing concerns: pedagogy, enrollment patterns, labor equity, and economic feasibility.
3. Class size should not be set based on classroom and/or equipment availability.
4. Class size for courses with an online component will be the same as on-site courses.
5. Clear course methodologies should appear in the course outlines to reflect the appropriate class size.
6. Safety, Health, State/Accrediting Regulations, and Vocational Advisory Committees supersede the following descriptions.
7. Classes that differ from the grid need to be justified through the curricular process.
8. Any class with a class size of less than 35 will only be offered as a multiple section under extraordinary circumstances.

| Instructional Method | Class Size | Descriptions |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Lecture/Discussion | 45 | The primary mode of instruction is lecture and may include discussion and/or <br> group learning. Evaluation primarily through objective exams. Writing <br> assignments are assessed mostly for concepts and structure. |
| Lecture /Discussion/ <br> Group Learning / Student <br> Presentations | 35 | While the instructor does lecture, much of the class time focuses on discussion, <br> group learning, and/or formal/informal student presentations. Evaluation primarily <br> through objective exams. Writing assignments are assessed mostly for concepts <br> and structure. |
| Individualized <br> Instruction/Group <br> Learning/ Student <br> Presentations | 30 | Class time focuses on individualized instruction, student presentation time, and/or <br> group learning. Requires three or more writing assignments using advanced <br> analytical and critical thinking skills. Writing assignments are assessed for critical <br> thinking, conceptual understanding, structure, style and mechanics. |
| Extensive Writing | 27 | Evaluation mostly through writing assignments with a minimum of 6000-8000 <br> words. Writing assignments are assessed for critical thinking, conceptual <br> understanding, structure, style and mechanics. For developmental classes, the <br> amount of words may be less, but the amount of assignments and scope of <br> assessment are similar to a transfer-level course. |
| Individualized Instruction | 25 | Most of the time the students are engaged in practicing the skill(s) they are <br> learning and the instructor gives each student individual instruction as the class <br> proceeds. |
| Internship/Field Practice | 25 | Classes in which the instructor coordinates internship/field practice opportunities <br> and supervises students individually at different locations. |
| Lab - Standard | 35 | Labs in which the instructor supervises students as they proceed in their work and <br> answers questions, but does NOT provide extensive individualized <br> feedback/evaluation on a regular basis. |
| Lab - Individualized <br> Feedback/Evaluation | 25 | Labs in which the instructor provides extensive individualized <br> feedback/evaluation on a regular basis. (e.g. problem sets, scientific experiments, <br> vocational skills, lab reports) |


[^0]:    Instruction Office:
    Date presented at CCC:
    Number assigned:

[^1]:    Source: Burning Glass

