

**Foothill College 2014-15 Comprehensive Program Review**  
**Program Review Committee Feedback Winter 2016, May 5, 2016**  
**General Studies - Science**

FINAL

Thank you for your time and effort in completing the Comprehensive Program Review this year. We know it is a lot of work but we hope it has been helpful. Thank you for your ongoing efforts to improve on behalf of our students. The program review allows others throughout the college to learn about your work and how it supports the college mission, educational master plan, and equity goals.

The Program Review Committee read and discussed each comprehensive program review during a series of meetings in winter 2016. Our feedback is outlined below. We would be happy to meet with the department if there are any questions.

Commendations / Strengths

PRC commends the authors for their efforts to address the course success rates below the college aspirational goal through interventions such as the STEM Center, Math Foundations Lab, etc.

PRC commends the Division Deans (2x) for getting together to discuss student equity efforts across both divisions.

Suggestions for Improvement

PRC suggests the program initiate research on student majors and award recipients rather than course takers. The following questions were discussed by PRC:

How many students completing the General Studies: Science degrees are dual majors (or award recipients)?

How many and to what institutions are these students transferring?

Why do students select this program (survey / focus group research)?

How many students have this program in their educational plan?

PRC suggests faculty participants from the program work with the faculty equity coordinator and perhaps the SLO committee to conduct program level outcomes assessments on the students who select this as a major or have it on their education plan.

Recommendation – Yellow\*

PRC rated the program review documents as a yellow for all areas as the program review as incomplete.

The PRC recommends the two Deans convene a representative group of faculty to meet with PRC in spring 2016 term to discuss the suggestions for improvement.

---

Program Review Committee (PRC) Members:

Justin Schultz; Andrew Lamanque; Vinita Bali; Kevin Harral; Jiatong Li; Simon Pennington; Cara Miyasaki; Craig Gawlick; Michelle Palma; Claudia Flores; Elaine Kuo

PRC recommends that Section 2E and PL-SLO assessment and reflection be completed and sent to PRC by June 15, 2016.

The program is recommended to complete an Out-Of-Cycle Comprehensive Program Review for 2016-2017.

\* reviewed by PRC without VPI comments

---

Program Review Committee (PRC) Members:

Justin Schultz; Andrew Lamanque; Vinita Bali; Kevin Harral; Jiatong Li; Simon Pennington; Cara Miyasaki; Craig Gawlick; Michelle Palma; Claudia Flores; Elaine Kuo